RECEIVED To: USPTGENTRAL FAX CENTER: 1/7

SEP 2 6 2006.

SMART & BIGGAR

Intellectual Property & Technology Law

438 Univers	ity Avenue
Suite 150	0, Box 111
Toronto, Canada	M5G 2K8

Tel.: (416) 593-5514 Fax: (416) 591-1690

571-273-8300

Page 1 of:

Attention:

To Fax no.:

Examiner Hussein A. El-Chanti

MAIL STOP: Amendment

United States Patent and Trademark Office

From:

Peter A. Elyjiw

Your file no .:

Serial No. 10/029,194

Date:

September 26, 2006

Reply to Toronto file no.:

92074-112 PAE/jbs

Time:

Please see attached:

1. Response to Office Action

If there are any transmission problems, please call (416) 593-5514.

Original copy and any enclosures

□ will☑ will not

be sent by

☐ mail
☐ courier

The information contained in this transmission is confidential and only for the intended recipient identified above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or use of this communication is unlawful. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect). Return the original message to us and retain no copy.

Dear Sir:

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

To:USPTO

SEP 2 6 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Group Art unit: 2157	
Shahram Shah-Heydari	Confirmation No. 5400	
Serial No.: 10/029,194	Examiner: Hussein A. El Chanti	
Filed: December 28, 2001	Attorney Docket: 92074-112	
For: HIERARCHICAL TREE-BASED PROTECTION SCHEME FOR MESH NETWORKS	PTO Customer No.: 22463	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 U.S.A.	Certificate of Transmission I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trudemark Office Fax No. 571-273-8300 on September 26, 2006. Signature Peter Elyjiw (Reg. No. 58,893) Typed or printed name of personnighting this cartificate	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This is in response to the Office Action mailed July 26, 2006 and follows the Examiner's interview of September 18, 2006. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims presented.

Remarks/Arguments begins on page 2 of this paper.